

Generalized Verlinde formulae for some Riemann surface automorphisms

Tamas Varga

Department of Theoretical Physics, Roland Eötvös University, 1117 Budapest, Hungary

E-mail: vargat@elte.hu

1st February 2008

Abstract

The generalized Verlinde formulae expressing traces of mapping classes corresponding to automorphisms of certain Riemann surfaces, and the congruence relations on allowed modular representations following from them are presented. The surfaces considered are families of algebraic curves given by suitably chosen equations, the modular curve $\mathcal{X}(11)$, and a factor curve of $\mathcal{X}(8)$. The examples of modular curves illustrate how the study of arithmetic properties of suitable modular representations can be used to gain information on automorphic properties of Riemann surfaces.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 81T40, 57R56

Keywords: Conformal Field Theory, Mapping Class Group

Rational Conformal Field Theories (RCFT) [1] appear in several areas of physics and mathematics. Their classification would imply important results in e.g. string theory, 2D critical phenomena and topology of 3D manifolds. A key part of the classification problem is the classification of allowed modular representations corresponding to a RCFT. This representation is generated by the matrices S and T that are determined by modular properties of genus 1 characters:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_p\left(\frac{-1}{\tau}\right) &= \sum_q S_{pq} \chi_q(\tau) \\ \chi_p(\tau+1) &= \sum_q T_{pq} \chi_q(\tau)\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Besides the modular relations $S^4 = \mathbb{I}$, $(ST)^3 = S^2$, which ensure that they generate the group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, they have to satisfy additional constraints coming from the extra structure of a RCFT lying behind them (see e.g. [2]). A well known example of these is the formula of Verlinde

$$\dim \mathcal{V}_0(p, q, r) = \sum_s \frac{S_{ps} S_{qs} S_{rs}}{S_{0s}}\tag{2}$$

which expresses the dimension of the space of genus 0 holomorphic blocks with insertions of primaries p, q, r in terms of modular matrix elements. Clearly, for all allowed modular representations the above combination of matrix elements has to give a positive integer. But Verlinde's theorem alone doesn't give a sufficient condition for a modular representation to come from a RCFT. For example, it was found in [3] that it is possible to obtain independent constraints by generalizations of Verlinde's formula. The complete characterization of these formulae and their geometric origin was presented in [4] - in the following we shall review its main results.

It follows from Verlinde's formula (2) that the dimension of the space of genus g holomorphic blocks (denoted as $\mathcal{V}_g$) might be expressed as [5]

$$\dim \mathcal{V}_g = \sum_q S_{0q}^{2-2g}\tag{3}$$

In a similar way as the modular group is represented on $\mathcal{V}_1$, a RCFT defines a natural representation of the mapping class group M_g on the space $\mathcal{V}_g$. (The elements of M_g are equivalence classes of conformal

mappings of genus g surfaces generated by Dehn twists. These act on the Teichmüller space $\mathbb{T}_g$ of genus g closed Riemann surfaces and are also projectively represented on the space of genus g holomorphic blocks - a special case of this for $g = 1$ is the action of the modular group on the upper half-plane, giving the modular representation (1). The formula (3) expresses the trace of the identity operator of these representations. The main result of [4] was to show that the traces of all finite order elements of M_g might be expressed via generalized Verlinde formulae in terms of modular matrix elements.

In order to write generalized Verlinde formulae in a compact form, we first have to define Λ -matrices. For $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ take any $M \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $r = M(\infty)$, let $-r^* = M^{-1}(\infty)$, and then $\Lambda(r) = T^r M T^{r^*}$ (M and T denote here the representation matrices on $\mathcal{V}_1$). It can be shown that this definition is independent of the choice of M , and that Λ -matrices have the following properties: $\Lambda(r+1) = \Lambda(r)$ and $\Lambda(0) = S$.

The appropriate generalizations of the right hand side of (3) are the twisted dimensions defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_g(r_1 \dots r_n) = \sum_q S_{0q}^{2-2g} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Lambda_{q0}(r_i)}{S_{0q}} \quad (r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{Q})$$

The rationals $(r_1 \dots r_n)$ are called the characteristics of the twisted dimension. We shall later use the shorter notation $\mathcal{D}_g([r_1]^{l_1}, \dots, [r_n]^{l_n})$ for the twisted dimension whose characteristics contains the rational r_i l_i times. Twisted dimensions and Λ -matrices play an important role in the theory of permutation orbifolds, and more about their properties can be found in [6]. The name "twisted dimension" expresses the fact that, with the above notation, (3) might be written as

$$\dim \mathcal{V}_g = \mathcal{D}_g$$

The trace formula given in [4] for $\gamma \in M_g$ is

$$Tr(\gamma) = \mathcal{D}_{g^*}(r_1, \dots, r_n) \quad (4)$$

where g^* and $r_1, \dots, r_n$ are determined by γ in the following way. It is known from a theorem of Nielsen [7] that if γ is of finite order, then it has a fixed point in its action on Teichmüller space. Furthermore, γ might be lifted to an automorphism (i. e. a biholomorphic self-mapping) of the Riemann surface corresponding to the fixed point. The parameters g^* and $r_1, \dots, r_n$ in (4) are certain characteristics of this automorphism (we shall return to the details later). This approach was taken in [4] to obtain trace formulae for finite order elements of M_1 . The finite order elements of this group are (conjugate to) members of the subgroups $\langle S \rangle$ and $\langle ST \rangle$ that fix the points $\tau = i, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$, respectively. Unfortunately, much less is known about finite order elements of higher genus mapping class groups and their fixed points in Teichmüller space. These correspond to Riemann surfaces with non-trivial automorphism groups, and the theory of these (especially the ones with "large" automorphism groups) is a rich area of mathematics with many open questions [9]. One of the basic theorems here is a theorem of Hurwitz, which states that the order of the automorphism group of a genus $g \geq 2$ surface is bounded by $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 84(g-1)$. The famous lowest genus example where this bound is saturated is the Klein quartic - a genus 3 surface with automorphism group $PSL(2, 7)$ of order 168.

Even if a systematic treatment of surfaces, like in the case of M_1 , is not possible, we may pick some Riemann surfaces with well known automorphic properties, and try to write down the trace formulae corresponding to their automorphisms. In [4] this was illustrated on the example of the Klein quartic. The aim of the present note is to consider other Riemann surfaces where a similar analysis can be carried out. First, we consider families of algebraic curves given by algebraic equations that are suitable for our purposes (i.e. some of their automorphisms can be given in a particularly simple form). This will lead to infinite series of trace formulae. After that, we shall turn to modular curves, mainly because they are interesting from a mathematical point of view - e.g. they possess "large" automorphism groups. The arising trace formulae are presented for two surfaces - that is the full character of their automorphism group (of order 84 and 660) is given in terms of twisted dimensions.

Let's now recall how an automorphism of a Riemann surface determines the characteristics of the twisted dimension in the corresponding trace formula. Consider a closed genus g Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}$ and an element of its automorphism group $\gamma \in Aut(\mathcal{S})$ of order N . One may construct a new surface $\mathcal{S}/\gamma$ by identifying the points on γ orbits. The complex structure of $\mathcal{S}/\gamma$ is defined so that the natural projection map $\pi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}/\gamma$ becomes a holomorphic N sheeted covering map. The parameter g^* in (4) denotes the genus of $\mathcal{S}/\gamma$. The points on γ orbits with non-trivial stabilizer subgroups correspond to ramification points of π , and the ramification index equals the order of the stabilizer subgroup. Let the number of such

γ orbits be r , and the order of stabilizer subgroups be $n_1, \dots, n_r$ (clearly $n_i | N$). There are $m_i = N/n_i$ ramification points on each orbit, so the total branching order of π is $B = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{(n_i-1)N}{n_i}$. Then the genus g^* might be expressed by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula as

$$g^* = \frac{g-1}{N} - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{(n_i-1)}{2n_i} + 1 \quad (5)$$

$(g^*; n_1, \dots, n_r)$ shall be referred to as the signature of γ (or the corresponding branched covering). In terms of a suitably chosen local coordinate z the action of γ^{N/n_i} (which is an element of the stabilizer subgroup) can be written near a branch point on the i -th orbit as

$$\gamma^{N/n_i} : z \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \frac{k_i}{n_i}} z \quad (6)$$

where $0 < k_i < n_i$, and is coprime to n_i . The rationals in the trace formula (4) are then defined as $r_i = \frac{k_i}{n_i}$, and they are called the monodromy of the covering.

It will be useful to notice a few consequences of such trace formulae on the arithmetic properties of the involved twisted dimensions. First, let's note that γ^l for $\gcd(l, N) = 1$ is also an order N automorphism of the surface, with the same orbits as γ . Its signature is therefore the same as that of γ , and further, from the definition (6) it follows that its monodromies are $(lk_i)/n_i$, where we use the natural convention that multiplication (and inversion) of k_i -s should be understood mod n_i . Thus the trace of γ^l is expressed by the formula

$$Tr(\gamma^l) = \mathcal{D}_{g^*} \left(\frac{lk_1}{n_1}, \dots, \frac{lk_r}{n_r} \right) \quad \text{if } \gcd(l, N) = 1 \quad (7)$$

If $\gcd(l, N) > 1$, then the signature and monodromies of γ^l are still determined by those of γ , but the rule is more complicated - a few examples can be read off from Tables 1,2. In the most important case when N is prime, this means that $Tr_{V_g}(\mathbb{I}) = \mathcal{D}_g$ and $Tr(\gamma^l) = \mathcal{D}_{g^*} \left(\frac{lk_1}{N}, \dots, \frac{lk_r}{N} \right)$ for $1 \leq l < N$ form a character of the group $\langle \gamma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_N = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. If N is prime, this is equivalent to the following conditions on the twisted dimensions (which they should satisfy in any RCFT):

1. The fact that the scalar product of this character with the trivial character of $\mathbb{Z}_N$ is a multiple of N , implies the following congruence relation on twisted dimensions

$$\mathcal{D}_g + \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \mathcal{D}_{g^*} \left(\frac{lk_1}{N}, \dots, \frac{lk_r}{N} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \quad (8)$$

2. The formula (4) also restricts the Galois transformation properties of twisted dimensions. It is known that $Tr(\gamma)$ is an element of $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_N]$, where $\zeta_N = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{N})$ ($N = \text{ord}(\gamma)$ is not necessarily a prime here). The Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_N]/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on this field by Frobenius transformations σ_l ($\gcd(l, N) = 1$), which leave $\mathbb{Q}$ fixed and take ζ_N to ζ_N^l . It is clear that $Tr(\gamma^l) = \sigma_l(Tr(\gamma))$, therefore the twisted dimensions appearing in trace formulae possess the following Galois transformation property

$$\sigma_l \left(\mathcal{D}_{g^*} \left(\frac{k_1}{n_1}, \dots, \frac{k_r}{n_r} \right) \right) = \mathcal{D}_{g^*} \left(\frac{lk_1}{n_1}, \dots, \frac{lk_r}{n_r} \right) \quad \text{if } \gcd(l, N) = 1 \quad (9)$$

The Galois action on Λ matrices was already studied in [8] using permutation orbifold techniques. The same Galois transformation property of twisted dimensions follows from the results of [8] if N is prime, and $\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{k_i^{-1}}{N} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where k_i^{-1} is the mod N inverse of k_i . The later condition is always satisfied by monodromies as a consequence of the monodromy theorem (we shall show this explicitly in the case of some algebraic curves). Therefore, if N is prime, the only new constraint on twisted dimensions is the congruence relation (8).

It's possible to strengthen these restrictions if γ^l is conjugate to γ in M_g for all $l \in M$ where M is a multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_N^*$. Then $\mathcal{D}_{g^*} \left(\frac{k_1}{n_1}, \dots, \frac{k_r}{n_r} \right)$ has to be invariant under the action of σ_l if $l \in M$, therefore is restricted in all RCFT-s to fall in the field $\mathbb{Q}[\sum_{l \in M} \zeta_N^l]$. This also allows us to simplify the congruence relations. In particular, if N is prime and $M = \mathbb{Z}_N$, then $\mathcal{D}_{g^*} \left(\frac{k_1}{n_1}, \dots, \frac{k_r}{n_r} \right)$ is invariant under

the full Galois group, therefore is an integer (because it is a trace). Then the congruence relation (8) can be written, after adding $\mathcal{D}_{g*} \left(\frac{k_1}{n_1}, \dots, \frac{k_r}{n_r} \right)$ to both sides, as $\mathcal{D}_{g*} \left(\frac{k_1}{n_1}, \dots, \frac{k_r}{n_r} \right) \equiv \mathcal{D}_g$.

After having seen how generalized Verlinde formulae restrict the arithmetic properties of twisted dimensions, let us now evaluate them for some explicit Riemann surface automorphisms. In the first example we shall construct automorphisms whose signatures and monodromies can be easily read off from their definition.

Consider first the surface $\mathcal{S}$ given by the equation

$$w^N = (z - e_1)^{k_1} \dots (z - e_r)^{k_r} \quad (10)$$

where e_i ($i = 1 \dots r$) are distinct points in $\mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq k_i \leq N$ are coprime to N , and $\sum_{i=1}^r (k_i/N) \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case the mapping $z : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is an N sheeted branched covering map (the points over z are $(\zeta_N^i w, z)$ $i = 0 \dots N-1$ for any solution w of (10)). The ramification points of ramification index N are $(0, e_i)$, $i = 1 \dots r$.¹ The total branching order of z is then $B = (N-1)r$ (B is even because either N or k_i -s are odd, but in the later case r has to be even if $\sum_{i=1}^r (k_i/N) \in \mathbb{N}$), therefore the genus of $\mathcal{S}$ is $g = B/2 - N + 1 = (N-1)(r/2 - 1)$ using (5). The covering transformation $t : (w, z) \mapsto (\zeta_N w, z)$ is an automorphism of order N , whose fixed points are the ramification points of the cover. The orbits of t are the points over z , therefore the natural projection map $\pi_t : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}/t$ is the same mapping as z . This means that, since $\mathcal{S}/t \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, the signature of t is $(0; N, \dots, N)$ where N appears r times. In order to determine the monodromy of t at a given point $(0, e_i)$, we shall choose a suitable local coordinate on $\mathcal{S}$ near this point. Since the mapping $z_i(z) : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (see (11)) defined in a neighborhood of $(0, e_i)$ is a locally holomorphic mapping of ramification index N in $(0, e_i)$ (because z is of ramification index N , and z_i is locally biholomorphic), and takes $(0, e_i)$ to 0, there exists a local coordinate λ on $\mathcal{S}$ near $(0, e_i)$, such that $z_i(z) = \lambda^N$. Since t leaves z , thus also $z_i(z)$, invariant, it has to act on λ as $t : \lambda \mapsto \zeta_N^l \lambda$ for some $0 \leq l \leq N-1$. From (11) and (10) it follows that $w^N = z_i^{k_i}$, therefore we can choose λ , such that $w = \lambda^{k_i}$. Since $\zeta_N w = t(w) = t(\lambda)^{k_i} = \zeta_N^{lk_i} \lambda^{k_i} = \zeta_N^{lk_i} w$ it follows that $l \equiv k_i^{-1} \pmod{N}$. Therefore, we have found that the monodromy of t at $(0, e_i)$ is k_i^{-1}/N , thus the generalized Verlinde formula for t is the following

$$Tr(t) = \mathcal{D}_0 \left(\frac{k_1^{-1}}{N}, \dots, \frac{k_r^{-1}}{N} \right)$$

This yields the following congruence relation (see (8), and recall that the genus of $\mathcal{S}$ is $g = (N-1)(r/2 - 1)$)

$$\mathcal{D}_{(N-1)(\frac{r}{2}-1)} + \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \mathcal{D}_0 \left(\frac{lk_1^{-1}}{N}, \dots, \frac{lk_r^{-1}}{N} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \quad (12)$$

for any choice of $1 \leq k_i \leq N-1$ coprime to N such that $\sum_{i=1}^r (k_i/N) \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, we obtained that under Galois transformations $\mathcal{D}_0 \left(\frac{k_1^{-1}}{N}, \dots, \frac{k_r^{-1}}{N} \right)$ behaves as in (9) which is in accordance with the results of [8] since $\sum_{i=1}^r (k_i/N) \in \mathbb{N}$. A similar, but more lengthy analysis could be done in the case if k_i is not necessarily relatively prime to N . The main difference is that there is in general more than one point over $z = e_i$, therefore one has to be more careful in determining the action of t on (and near) these orbits.

To construct an algebraic curve with more automorphisms, consider a surface $\mathcal{S}'$ similar to the example in [10] p. 247

$$w^N = (z^q - e_1^q)^{k_1} \dots (z^q - e_r^q)^{k_r} \quad (13)$$

where $0 \neq e_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq k_i \leq N-1$, $\sum_{i=1}^r (k_i/N) \in \mathbb{N}$. For simplicity, let both $N \neq q$ be primes. Note that this is a special case of (10) since $(z^q - e_i^q) = \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} (z - \zeta_q^j e_i)$, thus in this case the ramification points of

¹ To see this, first choose a local coordinate $z_i(z)$ in the neighborhood of e_i such that

$$z_i(e_i) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (z - e_1)^{k_1} \dots (z - e_r)^{k_r} = z_i^{k_i} \quad (11)$$

where the second equation has a locally biholomorphic solution, because both sides are of order k_i at e_i . In the new coordinate the Puiseux series over $z_i = 0$ is $w = z_i^{k_i/N}$, which is of ramification index N since k_i is coprime to N . We also need to prove that $\mathcal{S}$ is unbranched over $z = \infty$. For this choose a local coordinate $z_\infty(\infty) = 0$ and $(z - e_1)^{k_1} \dots (z - e_r)^{k_r} = z_\infty^{-\sum_{i=1}^r k_i}$, which is again possible because the order of the left hand side at ∞ is $-\sum_{i=1}^r k_i$. In this coordinate the function elements over ∞ are $w = \zeta_N z_\infty^{-\sum_{i=1}^r (k_i/N)}$, and these are unbranched because $\sum_{i=1}^r (k_i/N) \in \mathbb{N}$. This explains our choice $\sum_{i=1}^r (k_i/N) \in \mathbb{N}$ - if we wouldn't require it, the cover would be branched over ∞ , and the same condition would hold after including the monodromy at ∞ . In a topological approach this might be seen to be the consequence of the monodromy theorem.

proj (all of ramification index N) are the qr points $\zeta_q^j e_i$ ($j = 0 \dots q-1$, $i = 1 \dots r$). Consequently, the genus of $\mathcal{S}'$ is $(N-1)(qr/2-1)$. The mapping $\gamma : (w, z) \mapsto (w, \zeta_q z)$ is an automorphism of order q , whose fixed points can only be the $2N$ points over $z = 0, \infty$ (note that z is unbranched at these points). At the points over $z = 0$ the values of w are different, so these are fixed points of γ (which doesn't change the value of w). Further, at these N points z is unbranched, therefore a good local coordinate on $\mathcal{S}'$, so the definition of γ already contains the defining relation of its monodromies at $z = 0$, which all equal $1/q$. For $z = \infty$ the value of w for all N points over it is ∞ , so they are not necessarily fixed by γ . In order to determine the action of γ on this orbit, we have to consider its action in the neighborhoods of these points. In terms of the local coordinate $\xi = 1/z$ we might rewrite (13) as

$$w^N = \xi^{-q \sum_{i=1}^r k_i} \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - (e_i \xi)^q)^{k_i}$$

Therefore the function elements lying over $\xi = 0$ are

$$w_l = \zeta_N^l \xi^{-q \sum_{i=1}^r (k_i/N)} \sum_i a_i \xi^{qi}$$

(where the term $\sum_i a_i \xi^{qi}$ represents any, at $\xi = 0$ locally holomorphic, N th root of $\prod_{i=1}^r (1 - (e_i \xi)^q)^{k_i}$). Since $\sum_{i=1}^r (k_i/N) \in \mathbb{N}$ these are meromorphic functions of ξ^q , so they are fixed by γ . Therefore, the points over $z = \infty$ are also fixed points of γ . Just as in the case of $z = 0$, ξ is a good local coordinate on $\mathcal{S}'$ at these points, so the monodromy of γ here is $-1/q$. The total branching order of γ is then $2N(q-1)$, hence the genus of $\mathcal{S}'/\gamma$ is $g^* = (N-1)(r/2-1)$. Finally, the trace of γ may be expressed as

$$\text{Tr}(\gamma) = \mathcal{D}_{(N-1)(\frac{r}{2}-1)} \left(\left[\frac{1}{q} \right]^N, \left[\frac{-1}{q} \right]^N \right)$$

This implies the congruence relation

$$\mathcal{D}_{(N-1)(\frac{qr}{2}-1)} + \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \mathcal{D}_{(N-1)(\frac{r}{2}-1)} \left(\left[\frac{l}{q} \right]^N, \left[\frac{-l}{q} \right]^N \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \quad (14)$$

for arbitrary primes $N \neq q$, and arbitrary $2 \leq r \in \mathbb{N}$.

After these general examples, where we could identify only a small subgroup of the full automorphism group, let us now turn to curves with larger automorphism groups, namely the modular curves. Given a finite index normal subgroup $G \triangleleft \Gamma(1) = PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ one may construct a surface $\mathbb{H}/G$ by identifying the points in orbits of G on the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$. Compactifying this surface amounts to adding the orbits of G on $\{\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Q}$ (the cusps). In the following we use the notation $\mathbb{H}/G$ for this compactified surface. Elements of $\mathcal{A} = \Gamma(1)/G$, by their natural action on the orbits, are automorphisms of the surface.

An interesting case is when G is a principal congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma(N) = \{A \in \Gamma(1) | A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}\}$$

The closed surface $\mathcal{X}(N) = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma(N)$ is called a modular curve. Its automorphism group $\mathcal{A} = \Gamma(1)/\Gamma(N)$ is the projective special linear group over the ring of integers mod N . The first interesting surface in this series is $X(7)$, which is the Klein quartic with automorphism group $PSL(2, 7)$. The properties of its automorphisms are well known, and the arising trace formulae are given in [4]. In general, for $N \geq 7$ the genus of the surface $\mathcal{X}(N)$ is $g_N = \frac{|\mathcal{A}|}{4} \left(\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{N} \right) + 1$, where the order of the automorphism group $\mathcal{A}$ is half of the order of $SL(2, N)$ which is a multiplicative function of N given by $|SL(2, p^r)| = p^{3r-2}(p^2-1)$ for p prime.

Our aim is to present a method which allows to write down the trace formulae for automorphisms of several such surfaces. First, we need to examine the action of $\mathcal{A} = \Gamma(1)/G$ on $\mathbb{H}/G$, and in particular to find the orbits with non-trivial stabilizer subgroups. Suppose that an automorphism $\gamma G \in \mathcal{A}$ fixes the point Gx (where $\gamma \in \Gamma(1)$ and $x \in \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$). This means that $\gamma Gx = Gx \Rightarrow \gamma gx = x$ (for some $g \in G$), i.e. x is fixed by some element of $\Gamma(1)$. It is known that there are only 3 orbits of $\Gamma(1)$ on $\mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ with non-trivial stabilizer subgroups: the orbits of the points i , ∞ and $e^{(2\pi i)/3}$, which are

fixed by $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and ST , respectively. On the surface $\mathbb{H}/G$ these $\Gamma(1)$ orbits correspond to three $\mathcal{A}$ orbits with stabilizer subgroups generated by the cosets of S , T and ST - the rest of the orbits of $\mathcal{A}$ are regular. We may use this, for example, to compute the genus of $\mathbb{H}/G$ using the $|\mathcal{A}|$ sheeted covering map $\pi : \mathbb{H}/G \rightarrow (\mathbb{H}/G)/\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{H}/\Gamma(1) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ (with e.g. $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma(1)$ denoting the compactified surface). This also allows us to determine the signature of any element of $\mathcal{A}$ using only group theory - we shall illustrate this on an example. Consider the surface $\mathcal{X}(11)$ of genus 26, with automorphism group $PSL(2, 11)$ whose order is 660. The coset of T is of order 11 since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 11 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{11}$. Therefore the length of the $\mathcal{A}$ orbit of $G\infty$ (whose stabilizer subgroup is $\langle T \rangle$) is $660/11=60$. All of its points are fixed by some subgroup conjugate to $\langle T \rangle$, and since there are 12 different such subgroups, $60/12=5$ among these points are fixed by T . Since T is not conjugate to S or ST , these are all the points fixed by T , whose signature is then $(g^* = 1; 11, 11, 11, 11, 11)$ (where g^* is computed using (5)). Similar considerations allow us to determine the signature of any automorphism constructed this way.

The monodromies $k_1/n_1, \dots, k_r/n_r$, however, depend on the complex structure of these surfaces near the fixed points, and may not be determined in a purely algebraic way. One possible numerical way to get around this difficulty, if we know the signature of the automorphism, is the use of generalized Verlinde formulae. For all possible choices of $k_1, \dots, k_r$ one may compute the value of the corresponding twisted dimension in any suitable RCFT, and check e.g. if the congruence relation (8) holds. This turns out to severely restrict the possible choices of monodromies. To illustrate this, let us again consider the automorphism T on the surface $\mathcal{X}(11)$. In this case T, T^3, T^4, T^5 and T^9 all fall into one conjugacy class, and the rest of them in another. Therefore $Tr(T)$ has to be invariant under Galois transformations σ_l for $l = 3, 4, 5, 9$, i.e. it has to be an element of $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_{11} + \zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^5 + \zeta_{11}^9]$. It is enough to check this property for the twisted dimensions coming from the Ising model, to find out that the only possible choices are $\{k_i | i = 1 \dots 5\} = \{1, 1, 1, 2, 6\}$ or $\{1, 3, 4, 5, 9\}$, plus the sets obtained by simultaneously multiplying the elements of these by any number mod 11. One might hope that checking other properties (like the congruence relation), or considering other RCFT-s would rule out some of these, but this is not the situation. There are two reasons for this. First, recall that we have found these sets by requiring invariance of twisted dimensions under Galois transformations σ_l for $l = 3, 4, 5, 9$, so the simultaneous multiplication of k_i -s by 3, 4, 5 or 9 doesn't change the value of the twisted dimension. The multiplication by 2, 6, 7, 8 or 10 (or the corresponding Galois transformation) could change the value of the twisted dimension - this amounts to exchanging the characters corresponding to the two conjugacy classes containing the automorphisms T^l . However, there is an outer automorphism of $PSL(2, 11)$ which exchanges the same conjugacy classes, therefore all our constraints (which are based on the fact that these twisted dimensions form a character of $PSL(2, 11)$) are also satisfied by the character with the twisted dimensions exchanged. This explains the ambiguities up to simultaneous multiplication, and in a sense this reflects our freedom in choosing which automorphism we call T . The source of the remaining ambiguity is unclear, and it leads to an interesting phenomenon. After checking in several RCFT-s, one may conjecture that the equality

$$\mathcal{D}_g \left(\frac{1}{11}, \frac{1}{11}, \frac{1}{11}, \frac{2}{11}, \frac{6}{11} \right) = \mathcal{D}_g \left(\frac{1}{11}, \frac{3}{11}, \frac{4}{11}, \frac{5}{11}, \frac{9}{11} \right) \quad (15)$$

holds independently of the genus g . In general, similar equalities can be found for other surfaces, preventing us from an unambiguous determination of the monodromies.² Most of these equalities (like the above) don't follow from known symmetries of twisted dimensions. However, the existence of such equalities is not surprising. Recall that the method of writing down the trace formula for a mapping class γ depended on the choice of its fixed points. Therefore, in general there can be several twisted dimensions expressing the trace of the same mapping class, which could prove an equality like (15) for a certain genus. For the rest of the conjugacy classes of $PSL(2, 11)$ one may unambiguously determine the monodromies, and the results are summarized in Table 1.

²In the present case we might exclude the set $\{1, 1, 1, 2, 6\}$ by the use of Eichler Trace Formula [10]. It is known that the automorphism group is represented on the space of holomorphic differentials. The Eichler Trace Formula states that the trace of γ in this representation can be expressed in terms of its monodromies as

$$Tr(\gamma) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\exp(2\pi i \frac{k_i}{n_i})}{1 - \exp(2\pi i \frac{k_i}{n_i})}$$

For the choice $\{k_i | i = 1 \dots 5\} = \{1, 1, 1, 2, 6\}$ the value of the above expression doesn't fall in $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_{11} + \zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^5 + \zeta_{11}^9]$, but as we previously saw, this would be required from the character of the conjugacy class of T in $PSL(2, 11)$.

order	number	representative	trace
5	264	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\mathcal{D}_6$
11	60	T, T^3, T^4, T^5, T^9	$\mathcal{D}_1 \left(\frac{1}{11}, \frac{3}{11}, \frac{4}{11}, \frac{5}{11}, \frac{9}{11} \right)$
11	60	$T^2, T^6, T^7, T^8, T^{10}$	$\mathcal{D}_1 \left(\frac{2}{11}, \frac{6}{11}, \frac{7}{11}, \frac{8}{11}, \frac{10}{11} \right)$
2	55	S	$\mathcal{D}_{12} \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} \right]^6 \right)$
3	110	$ST, (ST)^2$	$\mathcal{D}_8 \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right)$
6	110	$x^2 = ST, y^3 = S$	$\mathcal{D}_4 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right)$

Table 1: Trace formulae for $Aut(\mathcal{X}(11)) = PSL(2, 11)$

The resulting congruence relations are the following

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{26} - \mathcal{D}_6 &\equiv 0 \pmod{5} \\
\mathcal{D}_{26} + 5\mathcal{D}_1 \left(\frac{1}{11}, \frac{3}{11}, \frac{4}{11}, \frac{5}{11}, \frac{9}{11} \right) + 5\mathcal{D}_1 \left(\frac{2}{11}, \frac{6}{11}, \frac{7}{11}, \frac{8}{11}, \frac{10}{11} \right) &\equiv 0 \pmod{11} \\
\mathcal{D}_{26} - \mathcal{D}_{12} \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} \right]^6 \right) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\
\mathcal{D}_{26} - \mathcal{D}_8 \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right) &\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\
\mathcal{D}_{26} + 2\mathcal{D}_4 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right) + 2\mathcal{D}_8 \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right) + \mathcal{D}_{12} \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} \right]^6 \right) &\equiv 0 \pmod{6}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

As another example take $G = \{A \in \Gamma(1) | A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 2n+1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2n+1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{8}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \Gamma(8) / \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$.

The arising surface is $\mathcal{X}(8) / \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$ of genus 3. Its automorphism group $(\Gamma(1)/\Gamma(8)) / \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ is of order 96. The monodromies may be determined unambiguously, and the values of all involved twisted dimensions must be integers. The results are listed in Table 2.

order	number	representative	trace
8	24	T^{2k+1}	$\mathcal{D}_0 \left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{1}{4} \right)$
4	6	T^2, T^6	$\mathcal{D}_0 \left(\left[\frac{1}{4} \right]^4 \right)$
2	3	T^4	$\mathcal{D}_1 \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} \right]^4 \right)$
2	12	S	$\mathcal{D}_1 \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} \right]^4 \right)$
3	32	ST, ST^2	$\mathcal{D}_1 \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right)$
4	18	$x^2 = T^4$	$\mathcal{D}_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right)$

Table 2: Trace formulae for $Aut \left(\mathcal{X}(8) / \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \right)$

The arising congruence relations are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_3 + 4\mathcal{D}_0 \left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{1}{4} \right) + 2\mathcal{D}_0 \left(\left[\frac{1}{4} \right]^4 \right) + \mathcal{D}_1 \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} \right]^4 \right) &\equiv 0 \pmod{8} \\
\mathcal{D}_3 + 2\mathcal{D}_0 \left(\left[\frac{1}{4} \right]^4 \right) + \mathcal{D}_1 \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} \right]^4 \right) &\equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\
\mathcal{D}_3 + \mathcal{D}_1 \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} \right]^4 \right) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\
\mathcal{D}_3 - \mathcal{D}_1 \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right) &\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\
\mathcal{D}_3 + \mathcal{D}_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

We have chosen this surface because of the remarkable property that not only its genus, but also the trace identities for S and ST are the same as in the case of the Klein quartic. A possible reason for this could be if the mapping classes of these automorphisms are conjugate in $M_{3,0}$.

We have seen that generalized Verlinde formulae provide a connection between Riemann surface automorphisms and arithmetic properties of twisted dimensions. The existence of a Riemann surface automorphism with given signature and monodromies implies (among others) a congruence relation in the form of (8) and the Galois transformation property (9). In the case of algebraic curves with suitable automorphisms this leads to the series of congruence relations (12) and (14). The first of these contains a congruence relation for any possible signature with $g = 0$, and monodromies allowed by the monodromy theorem, while the second contains examples for signatures with $g > 0$. These relations give restrictions on the properties of allowed modular representations, and they might be useful in classification attempts. The examples of modular curves illustrate how generalized Verlinde formulae can be used to obtain information about automorphic properties of Riemann surfaces. The arising trace formulae in Tables 1, 2 are examples where the full character of a large automorphism group can be expressed in terms of twisted dimensions.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank P. Bantay for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] DiFrancesco, P., Mathieu, P., and Senechal, P.: *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer, 1997.
- [2] Gannon, T.: Modular data: The algebraic combinatorics of conformal field theory, [math.qa/0103044](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.qa/0103044).
- [3] Bantay, P., and Vecsernyes, P.: Mapping class group representations and generalized Verlinde formula, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **14** (1999), 1325.
- [4] Bantay, P.: On generalizations of Verlinde's formula, *J. Geom. Phys.* **48** (2003), 44-51.
- [5] Moore, G., and Seiberg, N.: Classical and quantum conformal field theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 177.
- [6] Bantay, P.: Permutation orbifolds, *Nucl. Phys. B* **633** (2002), 365.
- [7] Hempel, J.: *3-manifolds*, Ann. Math. Studies **86**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1976.
- [8] Bantay, P.: The kernel of the modular representation and the Galois action in RCFT, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **233** (2003), 423.
- [9] Macbeath, A. M.: Hurwitz Groups and Surfaces, In: S. Levy (ed), *The Eightfold Way: The Beauty of the Klein Quartic*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1999.
- [10] Farkas, H. M., and Kra, I.: *Riemann Surfaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **71**, Springer, 1980, pp. 103-113.